

Early Journal Content on JSTOR, Free to Anyone in the World

This article is one of nearly 500,000 scholarly works digitized and made freely available to everyone in the world by JSTOR.

Known as the Early Journal Content, this set of works include research articles, news, letters, and other writings published in more than 200 of the oldest leading academic journals. The works date from the mid-seventeenth to the early twentieth centuries.

We encourage people to read and share the Early Journal Content openly and to tell others that this resource exists. People may post this content online or redistribute in any way for non-commercial purposes.

Read more about Early Journal Content at http://about.jstor.org/participate-jstor/individuals/early-journal-content.

JSTOR is a digital library of academic journals, books, and primary source objects. JSTOR helps people discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content through a powerful research and teaching platform, and preserves this content for future generations. JSTOR is part of ITHAKA, a not-for-profit organization that also includes Ithaka S+R and Portico. For more information about JSTOR, please contact support@jstor.org.

NOTE ΟΝ ὡς ἀπλῷ λόγῳ ΑΕSCHYLUS PROMETHEUS 46

In verse 610 there can be no question about the signification of $\delta\pi\lambda\hat{\varphi}$ $\lambda\delta\gamma\varphi$. The words $\lambda\delta\xi\omega$ $\tau o\rho\hat{\omega}_{S}$ in the preceding verse indicate clearly what the poet means. In the words of Isocrates (12. 246) the utterance of Prometheus is to be a $\lambda\delta\gamma$ $\delta\pi\lambda\hat{\omega}_{S}$ $\kappa\hat{\omega}_{S}$ $\delta\hat{\mu}\delta\omega$ $\kappa\hat{\omega}_{S}$ $\mu\hat{\omega}\theta\hat{\omega}_{S}$, or, as the orator says elsewhere (3. 52), the Titan proposes to tell the afflicted maid all that she desires to learn, $\delta\pi\lambda\hat{\omega}_{S}$ $\kappa\hat{\omega}_{S}$ $\delta\alpha\nu\hat{\omega}_{S}$ (= $\sigma\alpha\phi\hat{\omega}_{S}$ = $\tau o\rho\hat{\omega}_{S}$). There are to be no aloλοστόμους $\chi\rho\eta\sigma\mu\hat{\omega}_{S}$ $\delta\sigma\eta\hat{\omega}_{S}$ $\delta\sigma\eta\hat{\omega}_{S}$ $\delta\sigma\eta\hat{\omega}_{S}$ $\delta\sigma\eta\hat{\omega}_{S}$ $\delta\sigma\eta\hat{\omega}_{S}$ $\delta\sigma\eta\hat{\omega}_{S}$ and interweavings of riddles (610). What he is about to narrate is to be an $\delta\nu\alpha\hat{\omega}_{S}$ $\delta\alpha\hat{\omega}_{S}$ (663). In other words, he proposes to unfold his tale in simple speech ($\delta\alpha\pi\lambda\hat{\omega}_{S}$ = simplex); cf. Isocrates 4. 173 $\delta\sigma\eta$ $\delta\hat{\omega}_{S}$ $\delta\alpha\hat{\omega}_{S}$ $\delta\alpha\hat{\omega}$

But in verse 46 we have a different story to tell. Neither substantive nor modifier has the same meaning as in 610—λόγος is not oratio, ἀπλοῦς is not simplex, in spite of Paley and Allen-Wecklein (who translate plainly), Blomfield (ut vera dicam), and Bevan (to plain thinking). is άπλφ λόγφ means simply speaking generally, in contradistinction to καθ' ἔκαστον (going into details). Indeed, we could find no better commentary on this misinterpreted passage of the Prometheus than Isocrates Panegyricus 154: ως δ' άπλως είπειν και μη καθ' εν εκαστον άλλ' ως έπί τὸ πολύ. So Aristotle Rhet. i. 5. 2 τί ἐστιν ὡς ἀπλῶς εἰπεῖν ἡ εὐδαιμονία; cf. Dinarchus 2. 19 άπλῶς δ' εἰπεῖν ἐναντία τοῖς νόμοις ἄπασι πράττων διατετέλεκε, Isocrates 15. 117 δεί γὰρ οὐχ ἀπλῶς εἰπεῖν ἀλλὰ σαφῶς φράσαι ('point out all the particulars'). In the last example φράσαι indicates that the subject requires explanations in detail in order to be expounded $\sigma \alpha \phi \hat{\omega}_{S}$, which in this case is not equivalent to $\delta\pi\lambda\hat{\omega}_{S}$, although we saw that the same orator used the word synonymously with φανερῶς in 3.52. Cf. Plato Hipp. Maj. 296 d εκείνο μεν τοίνυν οιχεται, το δυνατόν τε και χρήσιμον άπλως είναι καλόν. In other words, Isocrates uses the adverb and verb here to express what Aeschylus expresses with the adjective and substantive in *Prometheus* $46 - \dot{\alpha}\pi\lambda\hat{\varphi}$ λόγ φ means uno verbo. The common prose phrase for this idea, ως ἔπος εἰπεῖν ('as nearly as you can express it in a word') occurs once in Aeschylus (Pers. 714) and once in Euripides (Hipp. 1162). But $\delta\pi\lambda\hat{\omega}_{S}$ is used in almost all classical writers to indicate that the statement is to be taken in the widest application. So in Plato, when Meno asks Socrates what he means by saying ἀρετή, ὁ Μένων, ἢ ἀρετή τις; the latter responds: οἷον, εἰ βούλει, στρογγυλότητος πέρι εἴποιμ' ἂν ἔγωγε ὅτι σχημά τί ἐστιν, οὐχ οὖτως ἀπλῶς ὅτι σχημα (73e). It is the general idea of $\dot{a}\rho\epsilon\tau\dot{\eta}$ Socrates wants, not some special manifestation of the quality.

I think it is quite clear that all Kratos means in the passage under discussion is: if one does not take the statement too accurately one may say that Vulcan's "cursed craft" is not to blame at all for πόνων τῶν νῦν παρόντων. Socrates says to the slave in Meno 83e: πειρῶ ἡμῖν εἰπεῖν ἀκρι- $\beta \hat{\omega}_{S}$. Now $\hat{\alpha}_{KOL}\beta \hat{\omega}_{S}$ is just the opposite of $\hat{\alpha}_{\pi}\lambda \hat{\omega}_{S}$, and when one wishes a response neither excruciatingly exact nor obscurely general, one will say, with Isocrates (5. 46): διεξέλθοιμεν μήτε παντάπασιν άπλως μήτε λίαν άκριβως. Additions and explanations are needed, if one speaks too tersely, if one makes too sweeping an assertion, such as, for example, οὐδὲν αἰτία τέχνη. For this very reason Kratos qualifies his remark by ώς άπλφ λόγφ. So Diotima questions Socrates (Symposium 206a) whether his statement has not been too general: ἆρ' οὖν, ἢ δ' ἥ, οὖτως ἀπλοῦν ἐστὶ λέγειν οὖ $\pi \rho o \sigma \theta \epsilon \tau \epsilon o \nu$, $\epsilon \phi \eta$ καὶ τοῦτο $\pi \rho o \sigma \theta \epsilon \tau \epsilon o \nu$. And there is a difference between the declaration of Kratos taken with absolute literalness and taken as a merely general statement. Cf. Plato Protag. 331 c οὐ πάνυ μοι δοκεί οὕτως άπλοῦν είναι, ὥστε συγχωρησαι τήν τε δικαιοσύνην ὅσιον είναι καὶ τὴν ὁσιότητα δίκαιον. J. E. HARRY

University of Cincinnati